UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,461	07/25/2006	Elvir Causevic	KEDI 8316 W1	2265
Polster, Lieder, Woodruff & Lucchesi, L.C. 12412 Powerscourt Dr. Suite 200			EXAMINER	
			FOREMAN, JONATHAN M	
St. Louis, MO 63131-3615			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/587,461	CAUSEVIC, ELVIR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JONATHAN ML FOREMAN	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
·=	-					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12, 15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/25/06.	atent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/587,461 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Note to Applicant Regarding 35 USC § 112 6th Paragraph

1. In regards to claim 11, only those claims using "means for" or "step for" modified by some functional language, as long as it is not modified by sufficient structure, material, or acts for achieving the specified function, will invoke 112.6th paragraph. If the applicant chooses to invoke 112.6th paragraph without using "means for" or "step for", applicant may do so by explicitly stating so in the subsequent response to this office action. In the present case processing mans, signal transmission means and signal receiving means have not been considered by the Examiner as invoking 112.6th paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In regard to claim 12, although the specification describes how a speaker can be a signal transmission means, it does not describe how a microphone can be a signal transmission means.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,461

Art Unit: 3736

Page 3

Claims 1 – 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1 - 10 are drawn to a method for evoking and measuring response signals in a human patient. The method implies a particular machine, however the machine does not impose a meaningful limit on the scope of the claims nor does the machine involve more than insignificant extra-solution activity. Additionally, the methods do not transform a particular article. Furthermore, there's no useful, concrete and/or tangible result because nothing is being done with the calculated data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,003,986 to Finitzo et al.

In regard to claims Finitzo et al. discloses providing a plurality of discrete stimulus signals to the human patient in a predetermined encoded sequence (Col. 4, lines 36 - 44), each of said discrete stimulus signals selected to evoke at least one desired response signal in the human patient (Col. 4, lines 44 - 48); acquiring unfiltered signals from the human patient, said acquired unfiltered response signals including signal noise (Col. 4, lines 448 - 51); and utilizing said predetermined encoded sequence to extract said desired response signals from said acquired unfiltered response signals (Col. (Col. 4, line 52 - Col. 5, line 35). Each of the discrete stimulus signals are auditory signals and the response signal is an auditory evoked brainstem response signal (col. 4, lines 22 - 26). Finitzo et al.

Art Unit: 3736

disclose a processing means (21), a signal transmission means (17) and a signal receiving means (11, 13, 15).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JONATHAN ML FOREMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,461

Page 5

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

/J. M. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 3736

/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.